No me amenaces... No me amenaces...

(con que ya son todos)

Seminario de matemáticas Fismat - UMSNH 16 de Noviembre de 2023



*Financiado por: ARRS Projekt 21 N1-0216

Antonio Montero*





Are Your Polyhedra the Same as My Polyhedra?

Branko Grünbaum



Branko Grünbaum 1929 - 2018

1 Introduction

"Polyhedron" means different things to different people. There is very little in common between the meaning of the word in topology and in geometry. But even if we confine attention to geometry of the 3-dimensional Euclidean space – as we shall do from now on – "polyhedron" can mean either a solid (as in "Platonic solids", convex polyhedron, and other contexts), or a surface (such as the polyhedral models constructed from cardboard using "nets", which were introduced by Albrecht Dürer [17] in 1525, or, in a more modern version, by Aleksandrov [1]), or the 1-dimensional complex consisting of points ("vertices") and line-segments ("edges") organized in a suitable way into polygons ("faces") subject to certain restrictions ("skeletal polyhedra", diagrams of which have been presented first by Luca Pacioli [44] in 1498 and attributed to Leonardo da Vinci). The last alternative is the least usual one – but it is close to what seems to be the most useful approach to the theory



¿Cómo le hacemos para ver que esto es cierto?

• ¿Caras? → Polígonos regulares



¿Cómo le hacemos para ver que esto es cierto?

• ¿Caras? → Polígonos regulares

• ¿Cuántos hay en cada vértice?

















Mosaico en la Catedral de San Marco, Venecia (Paolo Uccello~1425)

Dibujo de poliedros de Wenzel Jamnitzer (1505)



En 1619 Kepler encuentra dos poliedros ¿regulares?:























Podríamos pensar que sus caras son triángulos o...







¿Cuántos vértices y aristas tiene?



¿Cuántos vértices y aristas tiene?



En 1619 Kepler encuentra dos poliedros ¿regulares?:

Las caras de ambos son "pentagramas" o pentágonos estrellados.



Hay 5 caras alrededor de cada vértice



Hay 3 caras alrededor de cada vértice

En 1809, Poinsot los redescubre y descubre dos más:





En 1812, Cauchy demuestra que estos son todos los poliedros regulares "estrellados"





Gran dodecaedro



Gran icosaedro

Gran dodecaedro estrellado

En 1924 Petrie encuentra un nuevo poliedro regular, pero... ¡infinito!





Después de platicarle a Coxeter cómo los construye, él encuentra dos más y demuestra que solo hay tres de este estilo



Después de platicarle a Coxeter cómo los construye, él encuentra dos más y demuestra que solo hay tres de este estilo
attributed to Leonardo da Vinci). The last alternative is the least usual one – but it is close to what seems to be the most useful approach to the theory of *general* polyhedra. Indeed, it does not restrict faces to be planar, and it makes possible to retrieve the other characterizations in circumstances in which they reasonably apply: If the faces of a "surface" polyhedron are simple polygons, in most cases the polyhedron is unambiguously determined by the boundary circuits of the faces. And if the polyhedron itself is without selfintersections, then the "solid" can be found from the faces. These reasons, as well as some others, seem to warrant the choice of our approach.

Before deciding on the particular choice of definition, the following facts – which I often mention at the start of courses or lectures on polyhedra – should be considered. The regular polyhedra were enumerated by the mathematicians of ancient Greece; an account of these five "Platonic solids" is the final topic of Euclid's "Elements" [18]. Although this list was considered to be complete, two millennia later Kepler [38] found two additional regular polyhedra, and in the early 1800's Poinsot [45] found these two as well as two more; Cauchy [7] soon proved that there are no others. But in the 1920's Petrie and Coxeter found (see [8]) three new regular polyhedra, and proved the completeness of that enumeration. However, in 1977 I found [21] a whole

462

B. Grünbaum

lot of new regular polyhedra, and soon thereafter Dress proved [15], [16] that one needs to add just one more polyhedron to make my list complete. Then, about ten years ago I found [22] a whole slew of new regular polyhedra, and

Grünbaum 1977 Encuentra 47 poliedros regulares en \mathbb{R}^3



Grünbaum 1977 Encuentra 47 poliedros regulares en \mathbb{R}^3



- Es el primero que da una definición de poliedro y de poliedro regular;
- No dice que su numeración está completa

Un poliedro es una colección de polígonos (que llamamos caras) tal que:

polígonos

Un polígono es una colección discreta de puntos en el espacio, llamados vértices, junto con segmentos de recta entre ellos, llamados aristas, tales que cada vértice está en exactamente dos aristas y el objeto es conexo.



polígonos

Un polígono es una colección discreta de puntos en el espacio, llamados vértices, junto con segmentos de recta entre ellos, llamados aristas, tales que cada vértice está en exactamente dos aristas y el objeto es conexo.



Un poliedro es una colección de polígonos (que llamamos caras) tal que:

- Cada arista está en exactamente dos caras
- Cada figura de vértice es un ciclo (conexo)
- Conexo

Dado un vértice v, su figura de vértice es la gráfica cuyos vértices son los puntos medios de las aristas en v y dos de ellos están conectados si las aristas correspondientes (y v) están en una misma cara. Algunos ejemplos de poliedros









Ya sabemos qué es un poliedro, pero... ¿un poliedro regular?

"se ve igual por todas partes"

Los sólidos Platónicos cumplen:

- •Todas sus caras son polígonos regulares
- Todas sus caras son iguales
- •Todas sus figuras de vértice son regulares (e iguales)
- •Todas las simetrías de sus caras y figuras de vértice se extienden al poliedro

esto sufic



¿un poliedro regular?



Los sólidos Platónicos cumplen:

- •Todas sus caras son polígonos regulares
- Todas sus caras son iguales
- •Todas sus figuras de vértice son regulares (e iguales)
- •Todas las simetrías de sus caras y figuras de vértice se extienden al poliedro.





Un poliedro es regular si:



- •Todas sus caras son polígonos regulares
- •Todas sus caras son iguales
- •Todas sus figuras de vértice son regulares (e iguales)
- •Todas las simetrías de sus caras y figuras de vértice se extienden al poliedro.





















Teorema (Grünbaum-Dress)

Hay exactamente 18 poliedros regulares finitos en el espacio














































































B. Grünbaum

lot of new regular polyhedra, and soon thereafter Dress proved [15], [16] that one needs to add just one more polyhedron to make my list complete. Then, about ten years ago I found [22] a whole slew of new regular polyhedra, and so far nobody claimed to have found them all.

How come that results established by such accomplished mathematicians as Euclid, Cauchy, Coxeter, Dress were seemingly disproved after a while?

The answer is simple – all the results mentioned changed is the meaning in which the word "poly as different people interpret the concept in different the possibility that results true under one interpret understandings. As a matter of fact, even slight of concepts often entail significant changes in results

In some ways the present situation concerning a selector of the one that developed in ancient C



alid; what As long is always with other definitions

somewhat

analogous to the one that developed in ancient Greece after the discovery of incommensurable quantities. Although many of tBranko Grünbaum hetry were not affected by the existence of such quantities, it v229pl2018 ophically and logically important to find a reasonable and effective approach for dealing with them. In recent years, several papers dealing with more or less general polyhedra appeared. However, the precise boundaries of the concept of polyhedra are mostly not explicitly stated, and even if explanations are given –















Teorema (A. Dress 1984)

Existen 48 poliedros regulares en el espacio euclidiano tridimensional









lot of new regular polyhedra, and soon thereafter Dress proved [15], [16] that one needs to add just one more polyhedron to make my list complete. Then, about ten years ago I found [22] a whole slew of new regular polyhedra, and so far nobody claimed to have found them all.

How come that results established by such accomplished mathematicians as Euclid, Cauchy, Coxeter, Dress were seemingly disproved after a while? The answer is simple – all the results mentioned are completely valid; what changed is the meaning in which the word "polyhedron" is used. As long as different people interpret the concept in different ways there is always

the possibility that results true under one interp understandings. As a matter of fact, even slight of concepts often entail significant changes in re

In some ways the present situation concerr analogous to the one that developed in ancient (incommensurable quantities. Although many of not affected by the existence of such quantities



with other definitions

somewhat liscovery of metry were hically and

logically important to find a reasonable and effective approach for dealing with them. In recent years, several papers dealing ank Grünbaumless general polyhedra appeared. However, the precise boundaries the polyhedra are mostly not explicitly stated, and even if explanations are given –

Coloquio del CCM

EN LA BÚSQUEDA DE Poliedros (esqueléticos) quirales

Isabel Hubard Escalera

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM



Noviembre 12:00hrs **Auditorio del** CCM - IRyA

¡Muchas gracias!