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* We denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ the automorphism group of $\mathcal{P}$.
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* Facets of regular polytopes are regular.
* If $\mathcal{K}$ is a regular $n$-polytope, is there a regular $(n+1)$-polytope $\mathcal{P}$ with all the facets isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$ ?
- The trivial extension of $\mathcal{K}$ is regular if $\mathcal{K}$ is regular.
- The trivial extension is also very degenerate...
* If $\mathcal{K}$ is a n-polytope, does $\mathcal{K}$ admit a non-degenerate regular extension?
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There exists a regular $n$-polytope $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ such that

* $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ is of type $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$
* $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}(\Gamma))=\Gamma$
* The facets of $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}\left(\left\langle\rho_{0}, \ldots, \rho_{n-2}\right\rangle\right)$.
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* $\tilde{\rho}_{n}$ is an involution,
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The recular polytope $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ is a recular extension of $\mathcal{K}$ of type $\{\mathcal{K}, q\}$ where $q=o\left(\tilde{\rho}_{n-1} \tilde{\rho}_{n}\right)$.
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## Regular extensions

* (Pellicer, 2010): Extensions of dually Bipartite polytopes. Type $\{\mathcal{K}, 2 s\}, \forall s \geqslant 3$. Built using coset araphs
* (Pellicer, 2009): Extensions of regular polytopes with prescribed type $\left(2 s^{\mathcal{K}-1}\right.$ ), Type $\{\mathcal{K}, 2 s\}, \forall s \geqslant 2$, $\Gamma\left(2 s^{\mathcal{K}-1}\right) \cong\left(C_{2} \times C_{s}^{m-1}\right) \rtimes \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$
* (Hartley, 2005): The n-hemicube cannot be extended with an odd number.
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## Chiral polytopes

(Shulte-Weiss, 91): An abstract polytope is chiral if it has 2 flag-orbits with adjacent flags in different orbits.

Theorem (Shulte-Weiss, 91)
Given a Group $\Gamma=$...

* ...some relations...
* ... some intersection property...

Then $\Gamma$ is the automorphism Group of a chiral polytope ...
... or the rotation Group of a regular polytope.
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## Chiral polytopes Some history

* Chiral maps (chiral 3-polytopes): lots of examples.
- Infinitely many chiral toroidal maps.
- No chiral maps on orientable surfaces of genera 2,3,4,5 or 6.
- Infinitely many surfaces admitting chiral maps.
* Rank 4:
- First examples by Coxeter in the 10's
- (Colbourn-Weiss, Nostrand-Schulte, Schulte-Weiss, Monson-Schulte ... 90's): Examples arising as finite Quotients of hyperBolic honeycomBs.
- No chiral 4-polytopes from Euclidean honeycomBs.
- (McMullen-Schulte, 96): No chiral n-polytopes from Euclidean tilinas ( $n \geqslant 4$ ).
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Chiral polytopes
Some history

* Rank 5:
- (Schulte-Weiss, 95): First example of a chiral 5-polytope (very infinite)
- (Conder-Hubard-Pisanski, 2008): First finite example of a chiral 5-polytope.
* (Pellicer, 2010): Chiral polytopes exists in arBitrary rank.
* (Cunningham, 2OIT): Chiral n-polytopes cannot be small if $n$ is large enough.
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## The extension problem

Given a ___ polytope $\mathcal{K}$, does $\mathcal{K}$ admit a ___ extension $\mathcal{P}$ ?

Proposition
Let $\mathcal{P}$ Be a chiral $n$-polytope:

* All the facets of $\mathcal{P}$ are isomorphic.
* All the facets of $\mathcal{P}$ are either orientably recular or chiral But all the $(n-2)$-faces are recular.


# Chiral extensions of chiral polytopes 

* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with recular facets admits a universal chiral extension


## Chiral extensions of chiral polytopes

* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets adMits a universal chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, \infty\}$


## Chiral extensions of chiral

 polytopes* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets adMits a universal chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, \infty\}$
- Free products with amalgamation


## Chiral extensions of chiral

 polytopes* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets admits a universal chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, \infty\}$
- Free products with amalcamation
* (Cunningham-Pellicer, 2O13): Every finite chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with reqular facets adMits a finite chiral extension


## Chiral extensions of chiral

 polytopes* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets admits a universal chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, \infty\}$
- Free products with amalcamation
* (Cunningham-Pellicer, 2O13): Every finite chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets adMits a finite chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, q\}$ for some even number $q$


## Chiral extensions of chiral

 polytopes* (Schulte-Weiss, 95): Every chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets admits a universal chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, \infty\}$
- Free products with amalcamation
* (Cunningham-Pellicer, 2O13): Every finite chiral polytope $\mathcal{K}$ with regular facets adMits a finite chiral extension
- Type $\{\mathcal{K}, q\}$ for some even number $q$
- Permutation group (coset graphs).
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 polytopesTheorem (M. $2021^{+}$)

* If $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite dually Bipartite chiral polytope with regular facets, there are infinitely many numbers s such that $\mathcal{K}$ has a chiral extension of type $\{\mathcal{K}, 2 s\}$.
* If $\mathcal{K}$ is a chiral polytope with regular facets and a non-degenerate regular quotient, there are infinitely many numbers $s$ such that $\mathcal{K}$ has a chiral extension of type $\{\mathcal{K}, 2 s\}$.
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## Chiral extensions of chiral

 polytopesGiven an orientably reqular polytope $\mathcal{K}$, does $\mathcal{K}$ adMit a chiral extension?

* (Cunningham, 2OIT): If $\mathcal{K}$ is $(1, n-1)$-flat, then $\mathcal{K}$ does not admit a chiral extension
* (Conder - HuBard - Pellicer - O'Reilly, 2018 *): The $n$-simplex admits infinitely many chiral extensions with symmetric or alternating Groups as automorphisms
* (M.-Pellicer-Toledo, $2 \mathrm{O} 21^{+}$): If $n$ is even, almost every reqular n-toroid admits a chiral extension
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# Chiral extensions <br> Open problems 

* Does every chiral polytope (w.r.f) $\mathcal{K}$ adMits a chiral extension with prescriBed type?
* Does every (any) regular polytope admits universal chiral extension?
* Does every regular non-degenerate polytope admits a chiral extension (with prescriBed type)?
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* For every proper subset $I \subseteq\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ there exists a class of 2 -orBit polytopes: the class 2 .
* Chirality $=2 \varnothing$.
* (HuBard-Schulte, somewhere in the future): A characterisation theorem for the automorphism Group of polytopes in class 21 .
* (Pellicer-Potocnik-Toledo, 2019): Two-orBit maniplexes in class 2 , exists for every $n$ and every 1 .
* (Mochán, $2 \mathrm{O} 21^{+}$): Some of those maniplexes are in fact polytopes.


## 2-orbit extensions

* The $n$-polytopes in class 2 , are facet transitive if $I \neq\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.


## 2-orbit extensions

* The $n$-polytopes in class 2 , are facet transitive if $I \neq\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.
* The facets of polytopes in class 2, are regular or in class $2^{\text {\ }\{n-1\}}$.


## 2-orbit extensions

* The $n$-polytopes in class 2 , are facet transitive if $I \neq\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.
* The facets of polytopes in class 2, are regular or in class $2^{\text {\ }\{n-1\}}$.
* The extension problem makes sense and has two possibilities.
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Alternating 2-orbit

* The polytopes in class $2\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ are not facet -transitive.
* They have regular facets, of 2 different kinds.
* There are $2 k$ facets around every ( $n-3$ )-face, $k$ of each kind in an alternating way.
* We say that such polytopes have type $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{p}, k \\ Q\end{array}, k\right\}$


Figure: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 3\end{array}, 2\right\}$
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Alternating 2-orBit

Given $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ regular and compatible polytopes (and $k$ ) is there an alternating 2-orsit polytope of type $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Q}}, k\right\}$ ?

* (Schulte-Monson, 2O12) Characterise the automorphism Groups of the alternating polytopes.
* (Schulte-Monson, 2019, 2020) Two universal constructions:
- $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}$ : Universal alternating of type $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{P}, \infty\} \\ \mathcal{D}, \infty\end{array}\right.$
- U U $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ : Universal alternating of type $\left\{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{Q}}, k\right\}$
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* There are examples of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, k)$ such that not only $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ does not exists But that there is no alternating polytope of type $\{\mathcal{P}, k\}$.
* Conjecture: Given $\mathcal{P}$ and $Q$, there are infinitely many $k$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ exists.
* Conjecture: There are infinitely many $k$ for which there exist $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ does not exist.

Alternating 2-orbit

* There are examples of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, k)$ such that not only $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ does not exists but that there is no alternating polytope of type $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{Q}, k\right\}$.
* Conjecture: Given $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, there are infinitely many $k$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ exists.
* Conjecture: There are infinitely many $k$ for which there exist $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}}^{k}$ does not exist.
* Problem Characterise the triplets $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, k)$ for which there exists a finite alternating polytope of type $\left\{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}, k\right\}$.
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k-orbit
Very little is known for $k$-orBit polytopes with $k \geqslant 3$.
* (Cunningham-Pellicer, 2018): A list with more than 30 problems on $k$-orbit polytopes.
* (Hubard - Mochán, $2 \mathrm{O} 21^{+}$): Generators, relations and intersection properties for automorphism Groups of $k$-o rit polytopes.
* Some techniques used for regular polytopes apply to a more General class (notably $2^{\mathcal{K}}$ and related constructions).
* Problem: Given a regular polytope $\mathcal{K}$, is there a $k$-orbit extension of $\mathcal{K}$.

$$
k \text {-orbit }
$$

Very little is known for $k$-orbit polytopes with $k \geqslant 3$.

* (Cunningham-Pellicer, 2018): A list with more than 30 problems on $k$-orbit polytopes.
* (HuBard - Mochán, $2021^{+}$): Generators, relations and intersection properties for automorphism Groups of k-orbit polytopes.
* Some techniques used for regular polytopes apply to a more General class (notably $2^{\mathcal{K}}$ and related constructions).
* Problem: Given a reGular polytope $\mathcal{K}$, is there a $k$-orbit extension of $\mathcal{K}$.
* Problem: Given a $k$-orBit polytope $\mathcal{K}$, is there a universal $k$-orbit extension of $\mathcal{K}$.
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## Other interesting

 problemsThere are some other related problems which I did not talked about (sorry!)

* Amalgamations
* Hypertopes
* Small extensions.


Thanks!

